(3)

Cf. 2001 (1)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 1718 /2003.

PETITIONERS

1. Daulat Ram Dewangan, aged about 47 years, son of Shri Manaram Dewangan, P.C.C.F. Raipur, Department of Forest, Resident of Simga, P.O. Simga, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2. Madho Singh Bhruval, aged about 50 years, son of Shri T.S. Bhruwal, Personal Assistant, P.C.C.F. Raipur, Department of Forest, resident of Forest Quarter, Kalibadi Chowk, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

P. R. No. 1563/03
Presented by Shri Sharma
dated 07/6/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, department of Forest, D.K.S. Bhawan, Secretariat, Raipur, (C.G.)

2. Principal / Chief Conservator of Forest Office of the P.C.C.F., Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Additional Principal / Chief Conservator (Administration / Co-ordinater – Gazetted) Office of the P.C.C.F., Jail Road, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

RECEIVED COPY
7/6 HIGH COURT BILASPUR

24199/03
6/6/03

4. Chief Conservator of Forest (Non-Gazetted) Aranya Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(24) (23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1718/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.07.2003 Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, P.L. for the State. Heard. Though this matter is listed for admission, considering the question involved and on the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner and with the consent of the State Counsel, the matter is taken up for final disposal. The grievance of the petitioners is to the order dated 6.5.2003 whereby they have been reverted from the post of Personal Assistant to Stenographer. The case of the petitioners is that vide order dated 27.4.2002 the petitioners who were holding the post of Stenographers were promoted to the post of Personal Assistants and started discharging their duties as such. However, after lapse of over a year, vide order dated 6.5.2003, they have been reverted. The order is assailed inter alia on the ground that they could not have been reverted without giving them an opportunity of hearing. It is also alleged that though a representation, copy	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

~~24~~

25

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1718/ सन् 2003

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	whereof is Annexure P/3, has been filed, no action has been taken. Accordingly, alternative prayer is for a direction to the concerned respondent to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioners' on merits. Accepting the prayer, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider the representation of the petitioners within a period of six weeks from the date of communication/receipt of this order. Till then, status quo with respect to petitioners' posting as existing today be maintained. Consequently, M.W.P.No.1548/2003 for interim relief and I.A.No.5089/2003 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **P.C. Naik** **Judge**	

Anjani

[पीछे देखिये